## Title II Project Application COOS BAY DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

1	<b>Project Number</b>	(Assigned by federal unit):
┺.	I I U I CCL I MILLIDEI	(Assigned by federal unit).

<b>2. Project Name:</b> Aerial Photos for County Planning Department Office	3. County: Coos				
4. Project Sponsor: BLM Coos Bay - Glenn Harkleroad Coos County	5. Date: 4/22/02				
6. Sponsor=s Phone Number: 541-751-4361					
7. Sponsors E-mail: gharkleroad@or.blm.gov					

**8. Project Location** BLM – Coos Bay District. Myrtlewood and Umpqua Field Offices

#### 9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

Supply the County Planning Department with a complete set of current (2002) aerial photos of the BLM Coos Bay District and adjacent lands. The acquisition of these photos will reduce the need for the watershed associations, or the landowners they are working with, to come to the BLM office in North Bend in order to obtain aerial photos of proposed project areas. Having these photos available in the County Planning Office will reduce labor costs for the watershed councils (especially the Coquille WSA), or expenditure by a private landowner, associated with traveling to the BLM office to obtain photos.

#### 10. Project Description:

Purchase one full set of color aerial photos (7,000 photos per set) and provide it to the County Planning Department. The cost of one full hard copy set is \$20,000 or approximately \$2.86 per 9" by 9" color photo. Additionally provide each the Coos and Coquille Watershed Associations with a CD containing one full digital photo set. The cost of one CD is approximately \$100.

### 11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

□Yes XNo

#### 12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

 $\square$ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]

✗Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]

□Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]

□Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

## Title II Project Application COOS BAY DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

<b>13. Project Type</b> (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]					
□Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	□Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
□Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	□Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
□Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]					
□Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	□Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]				
□Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	□Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)				
□Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	□Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]				
□Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]					
✗Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: information acquisition in support of restoration activities					

#### 14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

a. Total Acres:

b. Total Miles:

c. No. Structures:

d. Est. People Reached

(for environmental education projects):

- e. No. Laborer Days:
- f. Other (specify): One hard copy set of aerial photos for the County Planning Department and one digital set of aerial photos supplied to both the Coos Watershed Association and the Coquille Watershed Associations.

#### 15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

Photos should be purchased and delivered by June 2003

**16. Target Species Benefited:** (if applicable) N/A

## 17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

The potential for cooperative relationships will be improved by providing watershed associations and local landowners more convienent access to aerial photos of the BLM Coos Bay District and adjacent Coos County Lands. Landowners that may be hesitant to go to a BLM office to review aerial photos may be more willing to go to the County Planning Department or local watershed association. This may also help provide a point of contact for local landowners and watershed associations, that may lead to future restoration projects within their respective watersheds. Which may ultimately benefit conditions on federally-managed lands or species that use federally-managed land. These aerial photos would also be used by the watershed associations when identifying and proposing watershed restoration projects on, or adjacent to, federally-managed land.

# Title II Project Application COOS BAY DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

**18.** How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities. See the answer to question # 17 above.

#### 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Local landowers will have a greater opportunity to access information about federally-managed lands (photos) and therefore have improved opportunity educate themselves about its location on the landscape, uses, and condition. This could lead to a greater understanding of land management practices and identification of restoration opportunities.

#### 20. Status of Project Planning □Yes XNot Applicable a. NEPA Complete: $\square$ No If no, give est. date of completion: c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: XNot Applicable $\Box$ Yes □No d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: □Yes $\square N_0$ XNot Applicable e. Survey & Manage Complete: □Yes XNot Applicable $\square No$ f. DSL/ODFW\* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained: XNot Applicable □Yes $\square N_0$ g. DSL/COE\* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: □Yes $\square No$ XNot Applicable h. SHPO\* Concurrence Received: □Yes $\square$ No **✗**Not Applicable i. Project Design(s) Completed: **X**Yes $\square$ No \* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer 21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment **X**Contract – purchasing photos **✗**Federal Workforce − acquisition and delivery □County Workforce □Volunteers $\Box$ Other (specify): 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)] □Yes **X**No 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: b. Is this a multi-year funding request? □Yes □No If yes, then display by fiscal year c. FY02 Request: f. FY05 Request: d. FY03 Request: g. FY06 Request: e. FY04 Request:

# Title II Project Application COOS BAY DISTRICT Resource Advisory Committee

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis** 

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column D Total Available Funds
24. Project Development				
25. Purchasing				
26. Monitoring				
27. Overhead				
28. Total Cost Estimate				

- 29. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] N/A
- **30. Monitoring Plan** [Sec. 203(b)(6)]
  - a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? N/A
  - b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? N/A
  - c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? N/A
  - **d.** Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks Amount: N/A